## JOHN ELIAS BALDACCI

## STATE OF MAINE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

SUSAN M. LESSARD CHAIR

CYNTHIA S. BERTOCCI

EXECUTIVE ANALYSIT TERRY A. HANSON

ADMIN. ASSISTANT

## **MEMORANDUM**

GOVERNOR

Board of Environmental Protection

FROM:

TO:

Cynthia Bertocci, Executive Analyst

RE:

United States Surgical Corp. and Mallinckrodt LLC

Appeal of Designation of Uncontrolled Hazardous Substance Site and Order

DATE:

August 5, 2010

The Board held deliberative sessions on this matter on May 6, May 20 and June 3, 2010. A draft Board Order is enclosed for your review and consideration.

At the meeting on August 5, 2010, the Board will review the draft order and provide further guidance to staff. Estimated time of discussion: 2 hours.

The draft Order is organized as follows:

1.	INTRODUCTION	<u>Page</u> 1
	A. Site History B. Commissioner's Order C. Mallinckrodt's Appeal	1 3 3
2.	APPLICABLE LAW	4
3.	PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF APPEAL	6
	<ul> <li>A. Adjudicatory Proceeding / Intervention</li> <li>B. Pre-hearing Conferences and Procedural Orders</li> <li>C. Pre-Filed Testimony and Public Hearing -</li> </ul>	6 6 7
4.	LEGAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES RAISED IN APPEAL	7
	<ul><li>A. Legal Issues Raised and Decided During Pre-Hearing Process</li><li>B. Additional Motions Filed Before Hearing</li><li>C. Additional Constitutional Issues Raised by Mallinckrodt</li></ul>	7 9 10
5.	DESIGNATION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES	11
	<ul><li>A. Statutory Requirement</li><li>B. Mallinckrodt LLC</li><li>C. United States Surgical Corporation</li></ul>	11 12 12



6.	HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND OTHER CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN PRESENT AT SITE	13
	A. Statutory Requirement	13
	B. Hazardous Substances at Site	13
	C. Other Contaminants of Concern	15
	D. Media Protection Standards	15
7.	REMEDIAL ACTION UNDER THE UNCONTROLLED SITES LAW	17
8.	SITE CONDITIONS	17
	A. Contaminants of Concern	17
	B. Overview of Site Features and Groundwater Flow Conditions	18
9.	REMEDIAL ACTION REQUIRED BY ORDER AND MALLINCKRODT'S	
	RESPONSE	21
	A. Remedial Action Alternatives Considered	21
	B. Remedy Required by Commissioner's Order	22
	C. Mallinckrodt's Proposed Remedy	23
	D. Factors to be Considered when Evaluating Remedial Options	24
10.	REMEDIAL ACTION REQUIREMENTS DISPUTED	25
	A. Removal of Landfills	25
	B. General Issues	25
	(1) Characterization of Groundwater Contamination	25
	(2) Landfill Siting, Design, and Construction Issues	26
	(3) Implementability Issues	27
	(a) Disposal Facilities	27
	(b) Transportation	28
	(4) Air Issues	29
	(5) Cost	29
	C. Landfill Specific Issues	30
	(1) Landfill Area 1	30
	(2) Landfill 2	32
	(3) Landfill 3 and Landfill 4	34
	(4) Landfill 5	37
	D. Determination of Depth of Removal of Contaminated Soils under Landfills 1 and 2	39
11.	GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION	39
	A. Groundwater Barrier Wall	39
	B. Ferry Road Wells	40
	D. I only Road it one	10

	C. Comprehensive Monitoring Plan	42
	D. Groundwater Collection and Wastewater Treatment	44
	E. Wastewater Discharge	44
	F. Meteorological Station	45
12.	REMEDIAL ACTION REQUIREMENTS GENERALLY NOT DISPUTE	ED45
	A. Facility Dismantling	45
	B. Corrective Measures Implementation Plan	46
	C. Sediment Prevention Plan	46
	D. Restore Southerly Stream	47
	E. Sediment and Soil Removal	47
	F. Industrial Sewer Removal	48
	G. Site Security	48
	H. Reporting	48
	I. Additional Work	49
13.	SCHEDULE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTIONS	49
14.	ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS IN DISPUTE	49
	A. Financial Assurance	49
	B. Independent Oversight Inspector	50
	C. Indemnification and Hold Harmless Provisions	51
	D. Insurance	52
	E. Attendance Required at Public Meetings	52
CON	CLUSIONS	52
DECI	ISION	53
Anna	ndix A: Summary of Procedural History	58
Appe	ndix A. Summary of Flocedural filstory	30
Appe	ndix B: Suggested Approach for Implementation of the Remedy	64